The effect of parental labor supply on child schooling: evidence from trade liberalization in India

Beyza Ural Marchand · Ray Rees · Raymond Riezman

Received: 20 March 2012/Accepted: 3 January 2013/Published online: 25 January 2013 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Abstract This paper estimates the effect of changes in maternal and paternal labor supply on the schooling rates of children in India using the variation in industry-specific tariffs during a period of trade liberalization. The results show that an increase in maternal labor supplied outside of the household leads to a higher schooling probability for younger children. Specifically, a 1 day per week increase in maternal labor supply is associated with an approximately 5 % points increase in the schooling probability for children between the ages of 7 and 10. However, father's labor supply has an insignificant effect on child schooling across all specifications. The effect for older children between the ages of 11 and 14, who face a tradeoff between schooling, market work, and domestic work, is also found to be insignificant.

Keywords Child schooling · Labor supply · Trade liberalization · India

JEL Classification D13 · J13 · O12 · O19

B. Ural Marchand (🖂)

Department of Economics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada e-mail: beyza.ural@ualberta.ca

R. Rees

R. Riezman Department of Economics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA e-mail: raymond-riezman@uiowa.edu



Department of Economics, University of Munich, Munich, Germany e-mail: ray.rees@lrz.uni-muenchen.de

1 Introduction

There is a large literature on the relationship between globalization and human capital formation in a macroeconomic context. In this paper, we consider a mechanism that works at the microeconomic level through the labor market activity of parents within households. It is well established that greater labor market access for women improves their decision making power and gives them more control over household resources (Anderson and Eswaran 2009; Ouisumbing and Maluccio 2003), which can then lead to improvements in child outcomes (Duflo and Udry 2001; Duflo 2003; Gleason 2003).¹ In developing countries, industrialization facilitates an increase in female labor supply by improving labor market opportunities for women outside of household enterprises (Mammen and Paxon 2000; Fontana and Wood 2000; Standing 1999). The process of globalization, in particular trade integration, can affect the schooling probability of children by influencing the distribution of resources within households. If trade leads to greater market opportunities for women, then women's labor supply may increase and, through this channel, the post-trade liberalization may involve lower fertility and higher child quality. Although there is little known about this process through which international trade affects child quality and quantity, there is a recent interest in the literature on this mechanism (Do et al. 2012; Rees and Riezman 2012).

In this paper, we identify the relationship between parental labor supply and child schooling by exploiting the variation in tariff rates in India. India experienced substantial trade liberalization in 1991 and has detailed micro-level data that allow us to understand the extent to which the changes in labor market activities induced by tariff reductions affect child schooling. The paper focuses on the schooling probability of children who are too young to be productive in the labor market, as opposed to older children who face a tradeoff between work and schooling. After controlling for a wide set of factors, this paper studies whether or not the changes in mothers' and fathers' labor supply induced by trade liberalization have contributed to the increased schooling rates in India.

The results show that an increase in maternal labor supply is associated with a higher schooling probability for younger children between the ages of 7 and 10, with a 1 day per week increase in maternal supply associated with an approximately 5 % points increase in their schooling rate. The differential effect of paternal supply was insignificant across all specifications. In general, a child who lives in a household in which the mother supplies significantly more labor than the father was approximately 2 % points more likely to attend school. Older children between the ages of 11 and 14, who face a tradeoff between schooling, domestic work, and market work, are not found to be significantly affected by the labor supply of either parent, after controlling for factors that are associated with the general well-being of the household. Further, the results show that tariff reductions in both maternal and paternal industries were associated with higher labor supply to the market for wages

¹ Unitary household models that assume income pooling and a representative household utility function have generally been rejected by the empirical evidence (Browning and Chiappori 1998; Chiappori 2011; Duflo 2003; Duflo and Udry 2001; Pitt et al. 1990; Quisumbing and Maluccio 2003; Thomas 1991).



and lower labor supply at household enterprises for both mothers and fathers, with a higher magnitude of this effect for mothers. Over the years between 1988 and 2000, the increase in maternal labor supplied outside of the household attributable to trade liberalization accounts for approximately one fifth of the improvement in the schooling rate for younger children.

The gender-specific labor market impacts of international trade have been widely studied in the literature. However, there is little known about how these differential changes are then translated to child outcomes, especially those of younger children. This paper contributes to the literature by using the exogenous variation in tariff rates, which affects the labor market outcomes for men and women, in order to identify the impact of parental labor supply on children. By exploiting this variation, it offers evidence that international trade can affect schooling rates by increasing the independent labor market activities of mothers outside of the household. Second, it uses a nationally representative employment survey from India to link each child to the tariff rates in maternal and paternal industries, which has not been previously done. This detailed data allow for a rigorous examination by controlling for a wide set of factors that are related to the characteristics of households, children, and parents, as well as the characteristics of the parents' respective industries.

2 Background

Women in low-income countries are usually restricted to work in household enterprises. On the path of economic development, industrialization improves the labor market opportunities for women and eventually leads them to supply more labor outside of the household enterprises. Distinguishing between different forms of labor participation for women, Anderson and Eswaran (2009) concluded that employment outside of the household contributes to female autonomy rather than overall employment. Changes in market conditions, therefore, may increase the value of outside options differentially and thus influence the relative allocation of resources within households. It is in this context that Rees and Riezman (2012) viewed the globalization process as creating opportunities for market employment in developing countries. They assumed that women have a stronger relative preference towards the quality of children and that men have a stronger relative preference their quantity. If these market opportunities created by globalization are higher for women, then the bargaining power of women will improve within the household, and the post-globalization equilibrium will involve lower fertility and higher child quality.

Identifying this relationship empirically is not straightforward, as parental labor supply is often endogenous to child outcomes. This relationship is partially explained by the theory on the effects of fertility on labor supply, which generally focuses on two main channels. First, as the number of children increases, there will be more specialization within the household as women allocate more time to childcare, known as the 'specialization effect' (Becker 1985). Second, fertility has a direct effect on the value of both parents' time in household production, often referred to as the 'home-intensity effect'. As a result, the theory predicts a reduction

in the mother's labor supply, but the net effect on the father's labor supply will depend on the relative magnitudes of the specialization and home-intensity effects (Kim and Aasve 2006).

This mechanism is expected to lead to a tradeoff between the quality and quantity of children. The shadow prices of these two variables are strongly linked, and therefore, exogenous changes may cause a substitution between these two variables (Lam and Duryea 1999). A mother with few children may choose to allocate more time towards market production, which may reduce child quality in terms of their health and nutritional intake (Glick and Sahn 1998). Most of these results also hold for developing countries (Kim and Aasve 2006; Lee 2008). If schooling is viewed as a quality outcome, then we may expect a reduction in schooling as a result of an increase in maternal labor supply. On the other hand, with less time available for home activities, including childcare, parents may choose more schooling for their children.² The causal effect of time spent in market production on schooling is therefore an empirical question which has not been addressed in most of the previous literature.

The extent to which trade reform affects labor supply works mainly through the increase in labor demand and earning opportunities, which are affected by changes in the relative prices of the domestic economy. The effect on labor markets could be systematic if, for example, male workers have a comparative advantage in brawn intensive industries, while female workers have a comparative advantage in brain intensive industries. More specifically, if males have more brawn, but all other characteristics of males and females are equal, then industries can be characterized as female relative advantage and male relative advantage industries (Suare and Zaobi 2009). If trade liberalization causes specialization in female relative advantage industries, then employment opportunities should increase more for female workers relative to male workers.

By exploiting the variation between Indian districts, Edmonds et al. (2011) looked at the effect of medium and short-term adjustment costs on the schooling rates of older children. They found that districts which experienced an average tariff reduction had less of an improvement in their schooling rates compared to districts with no tariff reduction. The current paper adds to this finding by documenting that the results may differ for younger children who do not face this trade-off, and showing that improvements in parental labor market outcomes induced by trade liberalization have had a positive effect on their schooling rates. This suggests that the impact on schooling may not have been uniform across the age spectrum, as trade liberalization may have helped improve the literacy rates while reducing the attendance rates among higher grades of children. It is important to note, however, that our results are not entirely comparable. The current paper analyzes the impacts at the household level, accounting for both first-order economy-wide effects as well as differential effects, instead of focusing only on the differential effects across districts. In doing so, we are able to identify an important channel which relates to

 $^{^2}$ If maternal and paternal labor supplies are perfect substitutes, the reduction in a mother's labor supply may be balanced by a proportional increase in a father's labor supply. In this case, we should see a positive effect for the father (Lundberg and Rose 2000 and 2002).



the adjustments made within households with respect to the time allocation and relative bargaining power between parents.

This paper adds to the existing literature by providing evidence that trade liberalization can influence schooling rates through the labor market outcomes of parents. It shows that this mechanism specifically operates through mothers, as opposed to fathers, and therefore, trade-induced improvements in labor market opportunities for women must be considered as a significant factor in explaining improvements in school attendance. The paper further shows that the response of schooling probabilities to parental labor supply differs by age group, and the effect is significant only for children who are not actively engaged in labor market activities. Although the impact of international trade on child outcomes, and on gender-specific labor market outcomes have been widely studied, there are no papers in the literature that relate these changes to examine how trade protection in parental industries impacts child schooling. The current paper provides evidence that a significant relationship exists for younger children through their maternal labor market activity, and that a 1 day per week increase in maternal labor supplied outside of the household leads to approximately 5 % points increase in the schooling probability for children between the ages of 7 and 10.

3 Theoretical framework

Consider the household as consisting of two individuals, one mother denoted by m and one father denoted by p. Their utilities depend on the general level of individual consumption, a household public good that we call the number of children or fertility, and a household public good that we call the quality of children.³ Their utility functions are given by:

$$u_i(x_i, n, q) = x_i + \phi(n) + \varphi_i(q) \tag{1}$$

where x_i is consumption of member i = m, p of the household, n is the number of children in the household and q is child quality. Assume that the female has a stronger preference for child quality, hence, $\varphi'_m(q) > \varphi'_p(q)$, while they have the same preference towards the number of children and consumption. Thus, it follows from this assumption that the female has stronger relative preference towards q while the male has stronger relative preference towards n.

The output, y, is given by the concave and strictly increasing production function, $h(t_m, t_p)$, where t_i is the time i(=m, p) spends in household production. We assume a simple child rearing technology given by c = an, where a > 0 and c is the time m spends in child care. If there is an outside labor market, then i(=m, p) supplies $l_i \ge 0$ and receives wage w_i . The individual time constraints are $l_m + t_m + c = 1$ and $l_p + t_p = 1$ where the total time of each person is normalized to one. The budget constraint is given by:

³ Child quality and quantity are measured in real numbers.



$$x + n(x_0 + bq + aw_m) \leqslant \sum_{i=m,p} w_i(1 - t_i) + h(t_m, t_p)$$
(2)

where $x_m + x_p = x$, *b* is the cost of child quality, and x_0 is the consumption level for each child. We assume that households maximize a weighted sum of adult utilities where the weights depend on the market wage. Hence, the household maximizes $V(u_m, u_p; w_m, w_p) = \alpha(w_m, w_p)u_m + [1 - \alpha(w_m, w_p)]u_p$ subject to the budget constraint above and where $\alpha m > 0$ and $\alpha p < 0$. The basic idea of the model is that better outside options enhance the bargaining power within the household. It is shown in Rees and Riezman (2012) that the above problem can be solved and the optimal number of children n^* , optimal child quality q^* , and that optimal changes in n^* and q^* with respect to the wage rates of the mother and father can be obtained. Then, it can be shown that:

$$\partial q^* / \partial l_m^* > 0; \quad \partial n^* / \partial l_m^* < 0; \quad \partial q^* / \partial l_n^* > 0, \\ \partial q^* / \partial l_n^* < 0 \tag{3}$$

It follows that trade liberalization can be considered as a process that affects the outside options of male and female individuals.⁴ If trade liberalization increases female labor supply l_m in the post-trade liberalization equilibrium, the value of female outside options will increase, and the household allocation will move towards her preferences, which means higher child quality and a lower number of children. On the other hand, if trade increases male labor supply, or leads to a reduction in female labor supply, then the equilibrium will move toward his preferences, leading to a lower child quality and higher fertility rates.

4 Description of the data

We use the Employment and Unemployment Survey conducted by the Indian National Sample Survey (NSS) Organization. The NSS Organization adopted a quinquennial survey program that incorporates a nationally representative sample.⁵ This is one of the largest and oldest household surveys for a developing country. Our analysis relies on the 43rd and 55th rounds of this survey in rural and urban India and covers a time period of 12 years from 1988 to 2000. The collected information includes household characteristics, as well as individual level variables that are related to labor market outcomes.

Each individual reports up to five activities and the time spent in each activity. Because we are interested in the market labor provided by the mother and father, even when it is not their most important activity, we exploit this aspect of the data

⁵ In addition to the quinquennial surveys based on *thick samples*, the NSS Organization also implements additional surveys between the successive quinquennial rounds that are based on much smaller *thin samples*.



⁴ The above result represents the substitution effect and rules out the income effect due to quasi-linear preferences.

Girls					Boys			
Age group	Schooling	Market work	Domestic work	Idle	Schooling	Market work	Domestic work	Idle
Year: 19	988							
0–6	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.88
7–10	0.52	0.02	0.03	0.43	0.59	0.02	0.01	0.38
11-14	0.60	0.09	0.18	0.13	0.76	0.12	0.01	0.11
Year: 20	000							
0–6	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.79	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.77
7–10	0.79	0.01	0.02	0.18	0.86	0.01	0.00	0.13
11–14	0.74	0.05	0.11	0.10	0.84	0.07	0.01	0.09

 Table 1
 Activities of children by age groups

The table represents the proportion of children within an age/gender group engaged in different activities. The schooling category includes children who reported schooling as their principal activity (code 91). The market work category corresponds to the following activities: worked in a household enterprise as a paid or unpaid worker, worked as a regular wage/salaried employee, worked as a casual wage laborer in public works or in other types of work, and worked as a beggar, etc. (codes 11–51 and 96). The domestic work category corresponds to the following activities: attended to domestic duties, engaged in the free collection of goods, sewing, tailoring, or weaving for household use (codes 92–93).

when determining the parental labor supply levels.⁶ Once we determine the parental labor market variables for each child, we then convert the individual level data so that each observation is for one child, and all of the other variables, such as the education level, parental labor market variables, and household characteristics, are also specified for each child.⁷

Table 1 shows the activities of children by age groups and gender for each year. For both boys and girls, the schooling rate increased with age and the percentage that are idle decreased with age. There was a significant improvement in the schooling rates for children between the ages of 7 and 10 from 1988 to 2000. Market work and domestic work are found to be important only among older children between the ages of 11 and 14. There was also an improvement in the schooling rate of this age group. However, these increases were not as large as the increases among younger children, and was primarily due to a substitution away from market work for boys and domestic work for girls.⁸

Our identification strategy relies on the tariff rates in parental industries; therefore, the indirect effect of tariffs on children's educational outcomes through parental labor supply should be significant, but the direct effect should be minimal

⁸ Similar results were shown for rural North India by Kis-Katos (2012).



⁶ The types of activities include: working in a household enterprise as an own account worker, employer, or unpaid family worker; working as a regular salaried/wage employee; working as a casual wage laborer in public works or in other types of work; attending an educational institution; attending to domestic duties; and engaging in the free collection of goods for household use.

⁷ We exclude multiple family households, as the interaction between different families within these households may alter the child outcomes. We also exclude households in which one of the parents is absent.

Year	Number of children		Average p	Average per capita expenditure (log)		Parental education				
			expenditur			Urban		Rural		
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Mothers	Fathers	Mothers	Fathers		
1988	2.396	2.517	5.292	4.917	0.581	0.805	0.241	0.527		
	(1.277)	(1.301)	(0.794)	(0.728)	(0.493)	(0.396)	(0.428)	(0.499)		
2000	2.183	2.441	5.528	5.068	0.671	0.830	0.344	0.595		
	(1.184)	(1.278)	(0.556)	(0.450)	(0.470)	(0.375)	(0.475)	(0.491)		
Year	Participation in market work				Labor supply in market work (days/week)					
	Urban		Rural		Urban	Urban		Rural		
	Mothers	Fathers	Mothers	Fathers	Mothers	Fathers	Mothers	Fathers		
1988	0.118	0.587	0.261	0.410	0.534	3.395	0.765	2.253		
	(0.323)	(0.492)	(0.439)	(0.492)	(1.729)	(3.080)	(2.029)	(3.015)		
2000	0.140	0.587	0.325	0.467	0.606	3.572	0.870	2.560		
	(0.347)	(0.492)	(0.468)	(0.500)	(1.862)	(3.254)	(2.082)	(3.084)		

 Table 2 Household characteristics and the labor market activity of parents

The per capita expenditure variable is corrected for inflation. Parental education is an indicator variable that takes the value of one if the parent had any schooling. The labor market variables are based on market work only. The following categories are included as market work: worked as a regular wage/ salaried employee, and worked as a casual wage laborer in public works or in other types of work (codes 21–51). Standard errors are presented in parentheses.

or insignificant. We focus on children between the ages of 7–10 years old, because this age group is unlikely to actively participate in the labor market, especially in menial labor, while they are old enough to attend primary school.⁹ Table 1 shows that children within this age group are mostly idle if they are not attending school. The schooling rates among boys and girls in this age group each increased by 27 % points between 1988 and 2000, while the percentage of children who are idle decreased by 25 % points. There was very little change in market or domestic work for this age group, and almost the entire improvement in schooling came from children who were previously idle and not actively participating in domestic work or market labor. On the other hand, a significant proportion of children between the ages of 11 and 14 are engaged in child labor, thus facing a tradeoff between schooling and work.

Household characteristics, including parental labor market activity, also changed significantly. The upper left panel of Table 2 reports the fertility rates by year and sector. Over the sample period, the average number of children per household decreased by 0.21 for urban households and 0.08 for rural households. Rural households have higher levels of fertility and lower per capita income levels in all

⁹ Although some children are reported to attend school at ages 5 or 6, we do not include this age group in our analysis, as a significant proportion of these children are attending pre-school from which some parents may opt out even when they have strong preferences towards education.



rounds. We observe a significant increase in the per capita real income levels for both rural and urban households. Parental education is an indicator variable that takes the value of one if the parent had any past education. Using this definition, the table clearly shows that it is substantially higher among fathers than mothers in all years, while an upward trend was visible for both groups.

The NSS reports the weekly labor supply for each individual for different activities. Because we are interested in labor supply which can generate bargaining power within the household, we exclude domestic labor and labor provided to household enterprises. It is not likely that these types of activities improve female autonomy and move the child quality/quantity equilibrium towards her preferences. The participation and labor supply variables reported in Table 2 are based on market activities only (excluding the labor supplied to household enterprises). Only 12 % of urban mothers and 26 % of rural mothers participated in these activities in 1988, while these rates increased by 2.1 and 6.4 % points, respectively, over 12 years.

Participation in market activities is much higher among fathers. An interesting observation is that, in urban areas, participation in market activities is lower among mothers and higher among fathers relative to rural areas. This may be due to lower overall labor market production among urban females. The labor supply in market activities is reported in terms of days per week and includes non-participation.¹⁰ In rural areas, maternal labor supply in market activities increased by 13 %. On the other hand, urban fathers supplied much more labor than urban mothers and had a relatively modest increase of 5 %. Because we focus only on market activities, the labor supply levels reported in Table 2 are lower than the overall labor supply.

5 Trade liberalization and labor markets

India's post-independence development strategy has relied mainly on self sufficiency. There were heavy restrictions on almost all of the tradable sectors prior to the trade reform. In 1988, the average ad-valorem tariff for the agriculture sector was 116.2 %, while it was 117.5 % in manufacturing. In 1991, India went through a very extensive trade liberalization in compliance with the conditions of the International Monetary Fund (IMF). Subsequently, the average tariff in the agricultural sector was reduced to 35.9 % by 2000, and the average tariff in the manufacturing sector was reduced to 38.3 %. This trade liberalization came as a surprise to the political community as well as to the production markets. As a result, there was little to no room for political economy concerns in the extent and dispersion of the tariff reductions. The IMF conditions required reductions in all industries regardless of their pre-reform tariff rates. Therefore, the tariff reductions were not significantly correlated with the initial tariff rates or the initial productivity levels of the industries (Khandelwal and Topalova 2011).

¹⁰ The NSS reports labor supply as the number of days in a week, which we use throughout this study. One could multiply these numbers by the usual work hours per day in India, in order to represent the results in hours instead of days.



As far as the overall employment effects are concerned, the literature on India suggests that the impact on its labor markets was not entirely consistent with the predictions of the Hecksher-Ohlin model. One crucial assumption of this model, perfect factor mobility, may be violated due to India's rigid labor laws and industry regulations. In fact, it has been argued that the varying labor regulations across states caused differences in the flexibility of its labor markets (Besley and Burgess 2004; Hasan et al. 2007). Although a comprehensive review of these regulations is beyond the scope of this paper, the implications in terms of the trade-employment relationship have been widely studied in the literature. Kumar and Mishra (2008) showed that manufacturing workers benefited from trade liberalization, and that these effects were relatively higher for unskilled workers. Further, Hasan et al. (2012) looked at the effect of trade liberalization on unemployment in India and found that unemployment decreases with reduced protection, especially in states with flexible labor markets and in urban areas.

In addition, within-industry employment can rise with trade liberalization due to the high productivity gains that were experienced in India (Felbermayr et al. 2008). If trade reduces variable costs and induces more productive firms to enter, then trade liberalization will increase employment. Khandelwal and Topalova (2011) found that tariff reductions in India increased total factor productivity through a procompetitive effect due to lower output tariffs, and through access to better inputs due to lower input tariffs. Other studies, such as Bishwanath (2002), showed that growth in employment accelerated in India after 1991, and that export-oriented industries employed relatively more women than import-competing industries.

The tariff rates used in this paper are from Hasan et al. (2007). The original tariff data is published by the Indian input-output industrial product groups, whereas the Indian NSS survey reports industry affiliations by National Industry Classification (NIC) categories. In order to match the corresponding tariff rates to the parental industries, a tariff rate for each of the NIC categories is computed using the tariffs in both inputs and outputs, and then aggregated by using the imports in each input-output category as weights.¹¹ The final child-level data includes one set of tariffs for maternal industries, and one set of tariffs for paternal industries by the two-digit NIC 1987 classification.

All industries had substantially reduced tariff rates since 1988, which are observed across the manufacturing industries and the agricultural industries. The average tariff reduction was 75 % of the initial tariffs, and the standard deviation across 2-digit categories was approximately 9 %. Industries across the entire spectrum of protection received significant tariff reductions after trade liberalization, although these reductions were relatively uniform across industries. There was almost no differential tariff reduction between the female dominated industries, which are agricultural sector with the exception of fishing, and male dominant

¹¹ For example, the industrial category "cotton textiles" includes both machinery and chemicals as inputs. The average tariff rate for this industry is therefore composed of tariffs in finished products as well as these inputs. Because each round of the survey data reports a different version of the NIC classifications, the concordance tables are used in order to make these classifications consistent across rounds.



161

dominant industries, which are coal mining and certain manufacturing industries, especially metal products, machinery, and transportation equipment.

The average tariff reduction for industries with a below-median share of female labor (15 %) was approximately 77 %, while it was approximately 72 % for industries with an above-median share of female labor. The average initial tariff rates were also similar, at 138 and 141 %, respectively. In addition, it does not appear that industries to which workers supplied relatively high labor hours per week, on average, were relatively more protected prior to trade liberalization, nor had they experienced higher reductions in their tariff rates.

Next, we investigate the impact of tariff reductions on parental labor market outcomes by estimating the following model for male and female workers:

$$L_{ljt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \tau_{jt-2} + \beta_2 X_{lt} + \gamma_{drt} + \varphi_{ljt}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where L_{ijt} is the labor market outcome of the worker i = m, p in industry j at time t, τ_{jt-2} is the tariff rate in industry j at time t - 2, and X_{lt} is a vector of individual and household characteristics. Differential changes across geographical regions independent of tariff reductions are controlled by district, year, and rural fixed effects denoted by γ_{drt} . Finally, φ_{ljt} denotes the *i.i.d.* error term. We run this model for both genders, distinguishing between the labor market activities outside of the household and the activities within the household enterprise. Because the impact of tariffs on labor market outcomes cannot be immediate, we use the 2-year lags of the tariff rates in our analysis.¹²

While estimating the impact of tariffs on labor supply, it is important to adjust for self-selection into the labor market. The labor market outcomes are not observed for individuals who decide not to participate in the labor market, so these variables are censored at zero. It is not appropriate to focus only on participants, because the outcomes of individuals who work may not necessarily be identical to individuals who self-select not to work. We estimate the above model with the Heckman (1979) two-step selection model which takes into account the labor supply decisions as well as participation.¹³ This method involves estimating a probit model that predicts the probability of being employed, and then uses these estimates to compute the inverse Mills ratio to be included as a covariate in the labor supply equation. We use an exactly identified model, meaning that all of the explanatory variables in the labor supply model are also included in the selection model. Both the OLS and Heckman results are presented in Table 3 for males and females, distinguishing between the labor supplied to the market and to household enterprises.

The results presented in Table 3 suggest that the tariff reductions are associated with an increase in wage-earning market activities outside of the household, as indicated by the negative coefficient on tariffs, while reducing labor supplied to

¹³ The results from censored Tobit model turned out to be very similar to the Heckman model. In this paper, the Heckman model is preferred, as it provides a more flexible framework to account for selection in the subsequent analysis.



¹² Specifically, we use the 1986 tariffs for the 43rd round, and the 1998 tariffs for the 55th round. The 1986 tariff rates are extrapolated using the percentage reduction between 1988 and 1989. Because the tariff rates remained constant prior to the trade liberalization in 1991, there was little to no change over this time period. We additionally used the 1- and 3-year lags and found that they provide similar results.

	(1) Total	(2) Market	(3) Household	(4) Total	(5) Market	(6) Household
Mothers						
Tariffs (log)	0.8999***	-1.7947***	2.6946***	0.9308***	-1.6732*	2.6040**
	(0.073)	(0.084)	(0.087)	(0.075)	(1.008)	(1.083)
Age	0.0857***	0.0961***	-0.0103	0.0523***	0.0350	0.0173
	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.019)	(0.018)	(0.244)	(0.262)
Age-squared/100	-0.0876^{***}	-0.1451***	0.0574**	-0.0484*	-0.0640	0.0155
	(0.028)	(0.028)	(0.026)	(0.025)	(0.337)	(0.362)
Attended school	-0.1937 ***	-0.1628***	-0.0309	-0.1706^{***}	-0.4480	0.2774
	(0.058)	(0.060)	(0.062)	(0.050)	(0.676)	(0.726)
Land owned (log)	0.1564***	-0.4982^{***}	0.6547***	0.1293***	-0.5270 ***	0.6563***
	(0.017)	(0.018)	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.193)	(0.207)
Household characteristics	х	х	x	х	х	Х
District-year-rural FE	х	х	X	х	х	Х
R ²	0.032	0.181	0.253			
Wald- χ^2 (Heckman)				2,347	3,143	4,176
Observations	78,580	78,580	78,580	78,580	78,580	78,580
Fathers						
Tariffs (log)	0.3318***	-0.3830^{***}	0.7148***	0.1270***	-0.3973 ***	0.8582***
	(0.042)	(0.075)	(0.079)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.006)
Age	0.0314***	0.0610***	-0.0296^{**}	-0.0035^{***}	0.1317***	-0.1058***
	(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Age-squared/100	-0.0356^{***}	-0.1127 ***	0.0771***	0.0165***	-0.2196^{***}	0.1917***
	(0.012)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Attended school	0.0532*	0.4329***	-0.3797 ***	0.0239***	0.5019***	-0.4485^{***}
	(0.029)	(0.033)	(0.036)	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Land owned (log)	0.1146***	-0.6690^{***}	0.7836***	0.0606***	-0.5408^{***}	0.6693***
	(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Household characteristics	х	х	x	х	х	Х
District-year-rural FE	х	х	х	х	х	Х
R^2	0.175	0.253	0.350			
Wald- χ^2 (Heckman)				3,828	2,572	5,997
Observations	76,516	76,516	76,516	76,516	76,516	76,516

 Table 3 The impact of tariff reductions on parental labor supply

The dependent variables are indicated as column names. Columns (1) to (3) presents results of OLS models while Columns (4) to (6) presents results of Heckman selection model. Market activities are defined as the following activities: worked as regular a salaried/wage employee, worked as a casual wage laborer in public works and in other types of works (activity codes 31–51). Household activities are defined as the following activities: worked in household enterprise as own account worker, employer or unpaid family worker (activity codes 11–21). Labor supply is defined as days/week. Household controls include religion and social group of the household (caste, tribe), number of children in the household, and log per capita expenditure. The sample is not restricted by the number of children. All standard errors are corrected for heteroscedasticity. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.



household enterprises. Considering that tariffs were reduced by roughly 70 % between 1988 and 2000, the results indicate that trade liberalization increased weekly maternal labor supply by approximately 1.2 days per week in market activities and reduced 1.8 days per week in household activities. The magnitudes are smaller for paternal outcomes, although still significant. Evaluating at the realized tariff reductions during this period, labor supply increased by 0.2 days per week in market employment and 0.4 days per week in household employment.

Female labor supply was positively associated with age for the market, household, and total labor supply. On the other hand, older male workers tend to work more for wages and less for household enterprises. Females who attended school tend to work less, potentially due to the higher compensation rates for these individuals. The results for males were more substantial in that schooling lead to about a half a day per week increase in their labor supplied for wages and about half a day per week decrease in their labor supplied to household enterprises. As expected, more land ownership is associated with less labor supplied to market activities. A doubling of the land owned by a household is associated with a 0.7 days per week increase in labor supplied at the household enterprise while decreasing market labor supply by about a half a day per week. In general, the nature of employment turned out to be a very important factor in determining how individual and household characteristics influence work hours for both men and women. While the impact on total labor supply may be negligible for some of the variables, we observe a significant impact conditional on the type of the employment.

The trade-induced shift towards wage-earning labor may be a result of both push and pull factors. Most of the household enterprises are small scale farms, and reduced agricultural prices due to international competition may have rendered these farms unprofitable, causing households to move away from these activities. A family which is not able to generate income from the household farm may be forced to search for outside employment opportunities. On the other hand, the increases in wages and employment opportunities in market activities may have made wage employment more attractive, by increasing the opportunity cost of both leisure and household-based jobs. Overall, these effects were higher in magnitude for female workers. This is potentially due to the differential expansion in female relativeadvantage industries, as previously discussed, lower levels of initial labor supply by female workers, or differential increases in the compensation and job opportunities for female workers.

6 Parental labor supply and child schooling

6.1 Baseline specification

Child schooling can be influenced by a variety of factors, including the cultural background, parental education, and income level of a household. There may be differential changes in schooling investments across geographical regions, leading to differences in access to schooling, not only in terms of rural versus urban settings, but also across states and districts. The schooling probability of each child may be



negatively associated with the number of siblings within households due to binding time and budget constraints. A child's age and gender, as well as the labor market characteristics of their parents, may also influence the schooling probability of children. Consider the following child schooling regression:

$$y_{iht} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 m_{hjt} + \alpha_2 p_{hkt} + \alpha_3 n_{ht} + \alpha_4 X_{ht} + \alpha_5 C_{iht} + \phi_{it} + \phi_{kt} + \gamma_{tdr} + \varepsilon_{iht}$$
(5)

where y_{iht} is a binary variable for whether or not child *i* in household *h* at time *t* attends school. m_{hjt} is the labor supply of a mother in household *h* and industry *j* at time *t* and p_{hkt} is the labor supply of a father in household *h* and industry *k* at time *t*. n_{ht} is the number of children in household *h* at time *t*. X_{ht} is a variable of household characteristics such as religion, social class, and per capita expenditure, as well as the labor market characteristics of the mother and father such as education and age. C_{iht} represents the characteristics of the child including age and age-squared and a binary variable for boys. ϕ_{jt} and ϕ_{kt} control for industry specific shocks other than the tariff reductions in the maternal industry *j* and the paternal industry *k*, γ_{tdr} is a vector of district, year, and rural fixed effects which controls for macroeconomic shocks common to all individuals within each district in rural and urban areas. Finally, ε_{iht} is an *i.i.d.* error term that is assumed to be uncorrelated with child schooling.

The household survey we use reports the industry affiliation for each individual, allowing us to merge the tariff rates to the parental industry affiliation for each child. This restricts our sample to children whose parents are working in traded industries, reducing our sample size to roughly 37 thousand children, about 20 thousand of which are between the ages of 7 and 10. The above equation is estimated with and without the district level fixed effects using the linear probability model. Following Glick and Shan (1998), the inverse Mills ratios are included in each regression to correct for the selection bias due to censored labor supply variables. In order to account for within-household correlation, all reported standard errors are clustered at the household level. The results for children between the ages of 7 and 10 are reported in Table 4. According to columns (1) and (2), maternal labor supply is estimated to have a positive and significant effect on child schooling. A one day per week increase in maternal labor supply increases the child schooling rate by approximately 0.2–0.3 % points. The effect of paternal labor supply was insignificant under all of the specifications.

The household characteristics have the expected effects on the schooling probability. Each additional child reduced the schooling probability by approximately 3.6 % points. A 1 % increase in per capita household expenditure increases the schooling probability by approximately 5 %, and a 1 % increase in land ownership increases the schooling probability by approximately 1.4 %. Children were about 16 % points more likely to go to school if their parents had any schooling. Boys were approximately 12 % points more likely to attend school. The age controls turned out to be insignificant for the children in this age group. There were significant differences between the mothers and fathers in terms of the effect of their characteristics, with the schooling probability being negatively associated with the mother's age but positively correlated to the father's age. This may be due to the fact that children with older mothers are more likely to have older siblings, who can assume childcare responsibilities, offering a less costly alternative to sending the child to school.



	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(0)
Maternal labor supply	0.0032**	0.0024*	0.0022**			
	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)			
Paternal labor supply	-0.0002	-0.0005		0.0012		
	(0.002)	(0.002)		(0.001)		
MPLS =					0.0270**	0.0210*
I(MLS - PLS > 1)					(0.012)	(0.012)
Number of children		-0.0358***	-0.0356***	-0.0338***		-0.0359***
		(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)		(0.003)
Household characteris	tics					
Per-cap expenditure	0.0532***	0.0367***	0.0368***	0.0336***	0.0524***	0.0362***
(log)	(0.013)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.014)	(0.010)
Land owned (log)	0.0139***	0.0150***	0.0155***	0.0156***	0.0121***	0.0140***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Child characteristics						
Boy	0.1244***	0.1194***	0.1206***	0.1189***	0.1244***	0.1194***
	(0.010)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.010)	(0.008)
Age	-0.0042	0.0150	0.0148	0.0143	-0.0040	0.0153
	(0.156)	(0.154)	(0.154)	(0.155)	(0.156)	(0.154)
Age-squared	0.0020	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0020	0.0008
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)
Parental characteristic	s					
Mother age	-0.0101^{***}	-0.0096***	-0.0097 ***	-0.0101^{***}	-0.0101^{***}	-0.0096^{***}
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Father age	0.0072***	0.0066***	0.0065***	0.0072***	0.0073***	0.0066***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Mother attended	0.1495***	0.1368***	0.1367***	0.1450***	0.1494***	0.1367***
school	(0.025)	(0.023)	(0.023)	(0.026)	(0.025)	(0.023)
Father attended	0.1652***	0.1667***	0.1663***	0.1683***	0.1637***	0.1657***
school	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)
Maternal industry fixed effects	х	х	х	х	х	х
Paternal industry fixed effects	х	х	х	х	х	х
District-year-rural fixed effects	х	х	Х	Х	х	х
Number of observations	20,084	20,084	21,359	20,139	20,084	20,084
R ²	0.270	0.277	0.278	0.276	0.270	0.277

Table 4 Child schooling and parental labor supply

The dependent variable, child schooling, is an indicator variable which is constructed based on the principal activity of the child (code 91). The results are presented for children between the ages of 7 and 10. Labor supply is defined as days of the week spent in the following labor market activities: worked as regular a salaried/wage employee, and worked as a casual wage laborer in public works and in other types of works (codes 31–51). MPLS is an indicator variable that marks the households in which the difference between maternal labor supply and paternal labor supply is positive and larger than one work day per week. Additional controls include religion and social group of the household (i.e. caste, tribe). Each regression includes inverse Mills ratios to correct for the selection bias. All standard errors are corrected for heteroscedasticity and are clustered at the household level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.



The main coefficients of interest in Eq. (7) are α_1 and α_2 . However, the variables associated with these coefficients, maternal and paternal labor supply, may be considered as substitutes for one another. A higher labor supply for fathers may lower the labor supply for mothers, reducing the effect of maternal labor supply. In columns (3) and (4), we include the labor supply variables separately in order to understand whether this substitution effect is influencing the results. The results show that the effect of maternal labor supply is slightly lower but still significant under this specification, and the effect of paternal labor supply is still insignificant.

In order to reduce the dimensionality of the problem, we define a new variable which indicates when the mother supplies more market labor than the father. This new variable, maternal minus paternal labor supply, which we call *MPLS*, is defined as follows:

$$MPLS_{ht} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (m_{ht} - p_{ht}) > 1\\ 0 & \text{if } (m_{ht} - p_{ht}) \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(6)

This variable essentially marks the households in which the female supplies one day or more in market labor per week than the male. It allows us to compare these households to the households in which the female supplies an equal amount of market labor, meaning that the difference is less than one work day per week. If the labor supplied to the market is a source of bargaining power, households with $(m_{ht} - p_{ht}) > 1$ should have children with higher levels of schooling after controlling for per capita household expenditure. These results are presented in columns (5) and (6) of Table 4. The results indicate that children in households in which the mother supplied more market labor than the father had approximately 2.7 % points higher schooling rate relative to other households (column 5). This estimate decreases to 2.1 % points if we control for the number of children (column 6), indicating that there is some re-allocation between home and market production.

The summary statistics in Table 1 showed that the increase in schooling rates for older children between the ages of 11 and 14 is primarily due to a reduction in domestic work for girls and in market work for boys. If the labor supplied by these children is a substitute for parental labor, there may be a differential effect in terms of the relationship between parental labor supply and child schooling. The same model was run for older children but are not presented here for brevity. These results show that the effect of parental labor supply on their schooling rates is insignificant, with the exception of the negative relationship with parental labor supply in specifications (3) and (4), which may suggest a substitution between the work of older children and their parents' labor. This effect disappears when both labor supply variables are included. The age of the child affected the schooling probability for this age group, albeit negatively, indicating a higher drop-out rate among older children. The coefficient for mother's age, on the other hand, was smaller, and the effect of paternal age was insignificant. This evidence implies that, once the combined household expenditure and parental labor market characteristics are controlled for, there are no significant effects on the schooling rates of older children. In addition, changes in the parental labor supplied to household enterprises have insignificant effects on child schooling. These insignificant results hold



167

throughout the rest of the analysis. In what follows, the results are only presented for younger children, and for parental labor supplied to the market for brevity.

6.2 Tariff reductions as an instrument for parental labor supply

Individuals face a tradeoff between home and market production due to binding time and budget constraints. Considering this mechanism within a household, it follows that the parental labor supply and child schooling are simultaneously determined and thus the causal relationship is not exactly identified. In order to ensure identification, we use the exogenous variation in tariff rates to instrument for the changes in parental labor supply. Lower tariff rates will increase the amount of labor supplied to the market, making tariffs an important determinant of maternal and paternal labor supply in market activities. These tariffs are unlikely to directly affect the schooling outcomes for younger children, conditional on household characteristics, as the improvement in schooling rates among this group was due to a reduction in idle children, rather than a reduction in child labor as it was for older age group. Conditional on a wide set of controls for family background, as well as child and parent characteristics, these tariffs are unlikely to be correlated with unobservables, as they are determined at the national level and are specific to the industry affiliation of parents.

In order to account for other changes in parental industries, such as productivity improvements and changes in other policies, we include a full set of industry fixed effects for both maternal and paternal industries. While tariffs are determined at the national level, it may be the case that some regions are more or less impacted due to the differences in their industry-specific employment shares. There may be differential changes across geographical regions independent of tariff reductions. In order to account for these regional differences, and identify the effects within districts, we control for district, year, and rural fixed effects. We use 2-year lagged tariff rates because the impact of tariffs on labor supply outcomes cannot be immediate. Using lagged tariffs is also necessary to ensure that it is pre-determined and exogenous to a worker's decisions at the time it was set. The selection of employment into industries is not a concern in our case, as we use 2-digit broad industry categories and there is little change across industries over time. Because the selection into employment is still a concern, we instrument for parental labor supply while accounting for selection in both the first stage labor supply regression and the second stage child schooling regression.¹⁴

Equation (5) is estimated using two-stage least squares by instrumenting the labor supply variables with a 2-year lag of the log tariff rates, $ln(\tau_{j,t-2})$ and $ln(\tau_{k,t-2})$, in the maternal and paternal industries *j* and *k*, respectively. The results in Table 5 suggest that the tariff rates serve as a strong instruments for parental labor supply, as implied by the high first stage F-statistics. According to the first two columns, the effect of maternal labor supply remains positive and significant with a higher magnitude. A

¹⁴ While Glick and Sahn (1998) used assets and non-labor household income as instruments, they suggest prices as plausible instruments as well. Tariff rates are strongly linked to domestic prices through cost minimization, as suggested by the tariff pass-through literature.



	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Maternal labor supply	0.0551**	0.0500**		0.0543***	0.0504***	
waternai labor suppry	(0.023)	(0.010)		(0.013)	(0.012)	
Paternal labor supply	-0.0080	(0.019)	0.0404	-0.0155	(0.012)	0.0126
r aternar rabor suppry	(0.011)		(0.035)	-0.0135		(0.0120)
Number of children	(0.011) 0.0207***	_0.0204***	-0.0310***	(0.014) _0.0300***	_0.0200***	-0.0327***
ivaniber of emilaten	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Household characteristic	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Per-can expenditure	0.0620***	0.0641***	0.0655***	0.0486***	0.0557***	0.0402***
(log)	(0.0020	(0.005)	(0.0055	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.011)
Land owned (log)	0.0416***	0.0445***	0.0434***	0.0371***	0.0464***	0.0240**
Land Owned (log)	(0.010)	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.000)
Child characteristics	(0.010)	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.009)
Pou	0.1202***	0.1211***	0 1168***	0 1208***	0 1212***	0 1195***
воу	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)
A go	0.0067	0.0030	(0.007)	0.0077	0.0041	0.0160
Age	-0.0007	-0.0050	0.0250	-0.0077	-0.0041	(0.156)
A an annound	(0.103)	(0.105)	(0.155)	(0.155)	(0.155)	(0.150)
Age-squared	0.0020	0.0018	0.0002	0.0021	0.0018	0.0007
Parantal characteristics	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.010)	(0.010)
Mother age	0.0111***	0.0112***	0.0117***	0.0086***	0.008/***	0.0006***
would age	-0.0111	-0.0112	-0.0117	(0.002)	-0.0084	-0.0090
Eathan and	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	0.002
Father age	0.0076***	0.00/9***	0.0089***	0.0054***	0.0030****	0.0005****
X 4 4 1 1 1 1	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Mother attended school	0.1543***	0.1535***	0.1460***	0.1521***	0.1508***	0.1445***
	(0.026)	(0.026)	(0.026)	(0.023)	(0.023)	(0.026)
Father attended school	0.1861***	0.18//***	0.18/1***	0.1798***	0.185/***	0.1/19***
	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.008)
effects	x	x	x	x	х	x
Paternal industry fixed effects	х	х	х	х	х	х
Year-rural fixed effects	Х	Х	Х			
District-year-rural fixed effects				x	х	Х
Number of observations	20,084	21,359	20,139	20,084	21,359	20,139
R ²	0.259	0.260	0.257	0.277	0.277	0.276
First stage F statistics						
Maternal	122.42	124.97		52.11	52.50	54.15
Paternal	130.69		132.36	54.08		54.15

Table 5 IV results using the tariff reductions in parental industries

The dependent variable, child schooling, is an indicator variable which is constructed based on the principal activity of the child (code 91). The results are presented for children between the ages of 7 and 10. Labor supply is defined as days of the week spent in the following labor market activities: worked as regular a salaried/wage employee, and worked as a casual wage laborer in public works and in other types of works (activity codes 31-51). The tariff rates in maternal and paternal industries are defined in terms of their 2-digit industry affiliations by NIC 1987 classifications. Additional controls include religion and social group of the household (i.e caste, tribe). Each specification includes inverse Mills ratios to correct for selection bias. All standard errors are corrected for heteroscedasticity and are clustered at the household level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.



one day per week increase in maternal labor supply corresponds to a 5.5 % point increase in the child schooling probability. If paternal labor supply is not included in the regression, the effect remains significant, although it is somewhat reduced to a 5 % point increase. Paternal labor supply remains insignificant under all specifications.¹⁵

The impact of maternal labor supply on the schooling probability is comparable in magnitude to some of the other coefficients in the model. Controlling for the all other covariates, increasing maternal labor supply by one day per week has an effect that is similar in magnitude to a percent higher per capita expenditure, or 1 % higher land ownership. This implies that, in improving schooling rates, the allocation of resources within households can be as important as the wealth of the households. However, among all of the determinants, parent's education, especially father's education, is the most important factor in determining whether or not a child attends school.

The estimates are higher once the endogeneity of parental labor supply is accounted for, which suggests that the covariance between female labor supply and the error term was negative in the baseline OLS specification. In addition to the mechanism described above, this can happen when women with a low amount of labor supply have disproportionately high schooling levels among their children due to some unobserved characteristics. For example, women with less of a labor market attachment may have relatively high bargaining power due to the assets brought into the marriage, or other unobservable factors that may affect female autonomy. If these women also have a lower tendency to participate in the labor market, then OLS would underestimate the impact. Another potential explanation is endogenous family formation. If women with less of an interest in the labor market are matched to men with relatively high preferences towards child quality, then the selection would bias the estimates downward.

These results suggest that trade liberalization helped improve the schooling rates among children between the ages of 7 and 10 by increasing the maternal labor supplied to the market, either through increased bargaining power within household or through a reduction in the time available for childcare. The results thus imply that the impact of trade liberalization on schooling may be non-uniform, in the sense that it may have differentially reduced schooling among older children, as documented by Edmonds et al. (2011), while increasing attendance rates among the younger age group. More importantly, the results suggest that the substitution effect of increasing maternal labor hours, after controlling for factors that relate to the general wellbeing of the household, is a significant determinant of the schooling rates for children of this age group.

Within this time period, the tariff rates were reduced by approximately 70 %. According to our first stage estimates, this increased maternal labor supply to the market by approximately 1.2 days per week. The second stage then suggests this impact is associated with an increase in the schooling probability of children

¹⁵ While the tariffs in all industries were significantly reduced, India maintained the non-tariff trade barriers until late 1998 for certain consumption such as rice wheat and oilseeds (Panagariya 1999). Tariffs for these products were nonbinding until these barriers were removed. In order to assess the importance of these restrictions, we set the tariff changes for agricultural commodities between 1988 and 2000 equal to zero, and re-estimate our model. The results suggest that the coefficients are robust to this modification.



between the ages of 7 and 10 by approximately 6 % points. Male labor supply also increased as a result of the tariff reduction, but this had no significant impact on the child schooling probability. Considering that the total improvement in child schooling was 27 % points, these estimates corresponds to approximately one fifth of the improvement in schooling rates.

We next run a robustness check with respect to the fertility decisions, in order to determine whether our results are driven by changes in fertility rather than the schooling decisions. When analyzing the effect of parental labor supply on child schooling, the number of children within a household is a potential source of bias, as it changes the value of household labor. In households with a large number of children, the value of home production is relatively higher, therefore we should see less market labor supply, especially for the mother. In addition, the specialization between the mother and father will be more significant for households with a larger number of children. Both of these effects point to a lower labor supply for mothers in households with a larger number of children may be composed of parents who have weak preferences for schooling. Hence, the fertility and schooling rates may be simultaneously determined, which would bias the estimates if these households are also structurally different in terms of their labor supply.

Within the empirical literature, the link between labor supply decisions and the child quality-quantity tradeoff has been widely studied. Angrist and Evans (1998) used the gender composition of first two children as an instrument for fertility. If parents prefer a mixed-gender composition among their children, and if the first two children are of the same gender, then the family will have a higher probability of having a third child. In fact, they show that having two boys or two girls increases the probability of having a third child by about 6 % in the U.S. Using this instrument, they found that having an additional child reduced the probability of female labor market participation by 12 % and their labor supply by about 5 hours per week. We construct a gender composition variable by following the definition of Angrist and Evans (1998) and define the gender composition as the following:

$$s_h = b_{1h}b_{2h} + g_{1h}g_{2h} = b_{1h}b_{2h} + (1 - b_{1h})(1 - b_{2h})$$

$$\tag{7}$$

where b_{1h} is an indicator variable that marks the households in which the first child is a boy, b_{2h} marks the households in which the second child is boy, g_{1h} marks the households in which the first child is a girl, and g_{2h} marks the households in which the second child is a girl. Naturally, we have $g_{1h} = 1 - b_{1h}$ and $g_{2h} = 1 - b_{2h}$. Our first gender composition variable, s_h , will be an indicator variable which takes the value of one if the first two children are of the same gender.

We run our model by additionally instrumenting the number of children within each household with indicators for households with same-gender, two-boys, or two-girls as the gender composition of their first two children.¹⁶ The two girl indicator is

¹⁶ The details of these estimation results, as well as the descriptive analysis of the gender composition instruments, can be found in the working paper version of this study, which is available at http://ideas.repec.org/p/ris/albaec/2011_021.html.



our preferred instrument as it has the highest first-stage F-statistics. The inclusion of this instrument increased the effect of maternal labor supply. A one day per week increase in labor supplied outside of the household was associated with an increase between 15 and 24 % points in the child schooling probability. The impact of paternal labor supply on child schooling, however, still has an insignificant effect. The effect of the number of children, once instrumented, also becomes insignificant. While the magnitude of the estimates are larger for maternal labor supply under this specification, the direction and the significance remained robust.

These results, along with the comparable specification in column (2) of Table 5, suggest that a one day per week increase in maternal labor supply increases the schooling probability of children between the ages of 7 and 10 by approximately 5-25 % points. We can therefore interpret the previously presented 5 % point estimate as the lower bound of the maternal labor supply effect on child schooling. Overall, the results suggest that maternal labor supplied to the market is a significant determinant of child schooling after accounting for factors that relate to fertility, the general well-being of households, and the labor market characteristics of the mother and father.

7 Conclusion

This paper studies the effect of changes in parental labor supply on child schooling in India using the reductions in tariff the rates in maternal and paternal industries during the substantial Indian trade liberalization during the 1990s. It contributes to the literature by providing evidence that there exists a significant intra-household channel through which trade liberalization affects child schooling. This channel mainly operates through the labor market activity of mothers, as opposed to fathers, indicating that the trade-induced increases in labor market opportunities for women must be considered as an important factor behind the improvements in the child schooling rates.

The positive effects are found only for younger children who are otherwise idle, and no significant effects are found for children who are older than 10 years of age. The results of this paper show that it is important to distinguish between younger children, with a low opportunity cost of education, and older children with a high opportunity cost. These children are different than their younger counterparts, as they run into a tradeoff between labor and schooling as labor market conditions change. The significant effect found for younger children is an important result in a country like India, where the overall literacy rate is very low. The tariff-induced increase in maternal labor supplied outside of the household was associated with a 5 % point higher schooling probability for younger children over the 12-year period studied in this paper. This accounts for approximately one fifth of the improvement in the schooling probability for children in this age group.

Most studies on the effect of globalization on education in developing countries have focused on the effects of the economy-wide changes in schooling outcomes or the differential changes in schooling rates across geographical regions. This paper complements the existing literature by providing evidence that there are also



significant effects that work at the micro-level, through the reallocation of time and resources within households. The results imply that globalization, in particular trade liberalization, can improve human capital formation in developing countries by providing better labor market opportunities for women.

Acknowledgments We thank two anonymous referees and the editorial team for their valuable comments and suggestions. We would also like to thank the participants of the Midwest International Trade Meetings at Penn State University, the CESifo Area Conference on Global Economy in Munich, the University of New South Wales, and the CEA meetings at Quebec City, especially Peter Egger and Ana Dammert, for their comments on an earlier version of this paper, and Alausa Waleem for his excellent research assistance.

References

- Anderson, S., & Eswaran, M. (2009). What determines female autonomy? Evidence from Bangladesh. Journal of Development Economics, 90(2), 179–191.
- Angrist, J. D., & Evans, W. N. (1998). Children and their parent's labor supply: Evidence from exogenous variation in family size. *American Economic Review*, 88(3), 450–477.
- Becker, G. S. (1985). Human capital, effort and the sexual division of labor. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 3(1), 33–58.
- Besley, T., & Burgess, R. (2004). Can labor regulation hinder economic performance? Evidence from India. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(1), 91–134.
- Bishwanath, G. (2002). Trade liberalization and manufacturing employment: The case of india. International Labor Organization, Employment Paper, No: 2002/34.
- Browning, M., & Chiappori, P. A. (1998). Efficient intra-household allocation: A general characterization and empirical tests. *Econometrica*, 66(6), 1241–1278.
- Chiappori, P.-A. (2011). Collective labor supply with many consumption goods. *Review of Economics of the Household*, 9(2), 207–220.
- Do, Q.-T., Levchenko, A. A., & Raddatz, C. (2012). Comparative advantage, international trade, and fertility. University of Michigan, Discussion Paper Series No: 624.
- Duflo, E. (2003). Grandmothers and granddaughters: Old age pension and intra-household allocation in South Africa. World Bank Economic Review, 17(1), 1–25.
- Duflo, E., & Udry, C. (2001). Intra-household resource allocation in Cte d'Ivore: Social norms, separate accounts and consumption choices. NBER Working Paper Series, No:10498.
- Edmonds, E., Pavcnik, N., & Topalova, P. (2011). Trade adjustment and human capital investments: Evidence from Indian tariff reform. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 2(4), 42–75.
- Felbermayr, G., Prat, J., & Schmerer, H.-J. (2008). *Globalization and labor market outcomes: Wage bargaining, search frictions, and firm heterogeneity*. IZA Discussion Papers, No: 3363.
- Fontana, M., & Wood, A. (2000). Modeling the effects of trade on women, at work and at home. World Development, 28(7), 1173–1190.
- Gleason, S. (2003). Publicly provided goods and intrafamily resource allocation: Female child survival in india. *Review of Development Economics*, 7(1), 71–85.
- Glick, P., & Sahn, D. (1998). Maternal labor supply and child nutrition in West Africa. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 60(3), 325–355.
- Hasan, R., Mitra, D., & Ramaswamy, K. V. (2007). Trade reforms, labor regulations, and labor-demand elasticities: Empirical evidence from India. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 89(3), 466–481.
- Hasan, R., Mitra, D., Ranjan, P., & Ashan, R. (2012). Trade liberalization and unemployment: Evidence from Indian States. *Journal of Development Economics*, 97(2), 269–280.
- Heckman, J. (1979). Sample selection bias and specification error. Econometrica, 47(1), 153-61.
- Khandelwal, A., & Topalova, P. (2010). Trade liberalization and productivity: The case of India. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 93(3), 995–1009.
- Kim, J., & Arnestein, A. (2006). Fertility and Its consequence on family labor supply. IZA Discussion Paper Series, No: 2162.
- Kis-Katos, K. (2012). Gender differences in work-schooling decisions in rural North India. *Review of Economics of the Household*, 10(4), 491–519.



- Kumar, U., & Mishra, P. (2008). Trade liberalization and wage inequality: Evidence from India. *Review of Development Economics*, 12(2), 291–311.
- Lam, D., Duryea, S. (1999). Effects of schooling on fertility, labor supply, and investments in children, with evidence from Brazil. *Journal of Human Resources*, *34*(1), 160–192.
- Lee, J. (2008). Sibling size and investment in children's education: An Asian instrument. Journal of Population Economics, 21(4), 855–875.
- Lundberg, S., & Rose, E. (2000). Parenthood and the earnings of married men and women. *Labour Economics*, 7(6), 689–710.
- Lundberg, S., & Rose, E. (2002). The effects of sons and daughters on men's labor supply and wages. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 84(2), 251–268.
- Mammen, K., & Paxon, C. (2000). Women's work and economic development. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 14(4), 141–164.
- Panagariya, A. (1999). The WTO trade policy review of India, 1998. The World Economy, 22(6), 799–824.
- Pitt, M., Rosenzweig, M. R., & Hasan, N. (1990) Productivity, health and inequality in the intrahousehold distribution of food in low income countries. *American Economic Review*, 80(5), 1139–1156.
- Quisumbing, A., & Maluccio, J. (2003). Resources at marriage and intrahousehold allocation: Evidence from Bangladesh, Ethiopia, Indonesia and South Africa. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 65(3), 283–327.
- Rees, R., & Riezman, R. (2012). Globalization, gender and growth. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 58(1), 107–117.
- Standing, G. (1999). Global feminization through flexible labor: A theme revisited. World Development, 27(3), 583–602.
- Suare, P., & Zoabi, H. (2009). Effects of trade on female labor force participation. Swiss National Bank Working Paper Series vol. 27, no. 3, 2009–2012.
- Thomas, D. (1991). Intra-household resource allocation: An inferential approach. Journal of Human Resources, 25(4), 635–664.

المنسارات

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

